United States Court OF Appeals For The Third Circuit

9

Ronald 6 Johnson

V. Appellant

(4) Four Suprame Court Justices
Justice, Holland
Justice, Steele
Justice, Ridgely
Justice, Jacobs
State, Of Delaware
And Warden, Raphael Williams,

Us. Court OF Appeals, Third Circuit
Civil Action No.

Delaware U.S. District Court Civil Action No. 1:05-CV-00726

Delaware Supreme Court Civil Action No. 296, 2005

And Warden, Raphael Williams, Superaco Court GEState of Delaware

In And For Delaware

Court Action No. CSM - 06-067

Commonal I.D. No. 0504012348

Notice OF Appeal

Comes Now the Appellant Ronald G. Johnson #182421 at Howard R. Young Correctional Institution Ro. Box 9561 Wilmington, Delaware 19809, And File his Notice of Appeal to this Honorable Court. Along with his Appeal Appellant Send a Copy of his Application For Cortificate of Appeal Filed in District Court. The District Court as Delaware Supreme Court and Superior Court has failed to Order the State to Provide reasonable Discovery to hold him in Custady. Or Grant "What of Habras Corpus it the State fail to Provide reasonable Discovery. 9 months has pasted the State has Provided No Discovery And I No Court has respect my Motions and Petitions to Order it.

In The United States District Court For The District OF Delaware

Ronald G. Johnson

V.

(4) Four Delaware Supreme Court Justice
i) Justice, Holland
2) Justice, Steele
3.) Justice, Ridgely
5) And State OF Delaware et al.,
(1)

Re: Writ OF Mandamus

United States District Court Civil Action No. 1:05-CV-007Z6K.A.J.

Supreme Court of State of Delawar

Civil Appeal 296, 2005

Superior Court of State OF Delawore In And For New Costle County Civil Action No. 05 - M-06-067 Crimmal I.D. No. 0504012348

Application For Certification OF Appeal

Court For a Certificate of Appeal. This Honorable District Court has failed to address the merits of my Writ OF Mandamus for Information <u>Discovery</u>. The Court Consolidated it with a Writ OF Habeas Corpus. And Denied or Dismissed the Writ of Habeas Corpus. But Fail to address the merit of my Writ of Mondamus for Discovery Information. Discovery Information is <u>Page 1-5</u>

is a Pre-torial issues. And Petitioner has a Constitutional right to the information request of the State.

3 6th Amendment Violations

The Six Amendment in relevant part States "In all Criminal prosecution, the accused shall enjoy the right ... to be informed of the nature and Cause of the accusations"

See, Cliver, 333 U.S. 257 (1948)

Speedy Trial Violations

The Petition's Speedy Trial Rights has been violated. The State has not present to the Petitioner the answer to interrogatory question sought by Writ of mandomus. Petitioner Case has been pending for over 9 months. The petition has not been told Who is the arresting officer, Who booked him, who took his finger prints. No valid warrent has been Provided. Petitioner has a right to Jencks ACT. Brady Material and Discovery Information

Exhaustion OF All Remedies
Petition has Presented this issues to Superior Court, to

the Delawore Highest Court the Delaware Supreme Court and Now to the District Court. The Petitioner has Came to Superior Court and Supreme Court of Delaware asking these Court to previde or Order the State to provide the Discovery Saught in this Writ OF Mondamus No Court has Order the State to provide this Discovery. This Honorable Court has done the Same by Consolidating my Petition For Writ of Mondamus with my Writ of Habeas Corpus.

(B) What Relief Petitioner Seeks

The Petitioner Seek to thing Order the State to Produce the following Discovery and answer the Following Interrogatory Questions.

(5) Interrogotory Questions

- a) Who arrested him? b) Who booked him?
- C) Who took his finger prints? d) Who took his picture?
- e) Upon arrest which inggistrate I appeared before?
- F) Which Court the magistrate or judge Petitioner appear before upon arrest? Which Court?